



diethyl ether was added to the reaction mixture to separate the product. The remaining solid catalyst was directly used in the next run without further purification under the same conditions with using *p*-bromobenzaldehyde as model substrate. This procedure was repeated 5 times and the results obtained are shown in Table 2. The yields are still very close to the initial value in the previous four cycles. Unfortunately, the yield decreased to 82% from 98% at the case of the fifth cycle. Hence the catalyst can be efficiently recycled for at least 4 times without loss in activity.

In conclusion, we have provided an alternative preparation of 1,1-diacetates from aromatic aldehydes with the advantages of high yields, fast reaction, simple operation and minimal environmental impact.

### Experimental

Melting points were measured by X6 micro-melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded using KBr pellet on a Bruker Equinox 66 spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a Bruker AVANCE 300 (300 MHz) instrument with the residue CHCl<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard at δ 7.24 ppm. Furyl aldehyde and benzaldehyde were purified by distillation. All other chemicals used were of commercial grade without further purification.

*General procedure for the preparation of 1,1-diacetates:* A mixture of the aromatic aldehyde (5 mmol), acetic anhydride (7.5 mmol) and Ce(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 mmol) was stirred at ambient temperature. While the stirring continued, the mixture solidified completely. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), diethyl ether (10 ml × 5) was added to extract the product and the catalyst remained as a solid. The recovered wet catalyst was used for recycling directly. The organic layer was washed 5% NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, saturated NaHSO<sub>3</sub>, brine and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed in vacuo to afford the practically pure product which was further purified by recrystallisation with the mixed solvent of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate.

### Spectroscopic data of selected products

**3c:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300MHz) δ: 2.13 (s, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.31 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 7.15 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.55 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.68 (s, 1H, CH); IR (KBr) δ: 1764, 1741, 1368, 1241, 1205, 1008 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**3d:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300MHz) δ: 2.14 (s, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.33 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 3.87 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 7.08–7.33 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.17 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.66 (s, 1H, CH); IR (KBr) δ: 1762, 1611, 1375, 1244, 1206, 1014 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**Table 2** The reuse of the catalyst

Run	Substrate	Reaction time /min	Isolated yield/%
1	4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CHO	3	96
2	4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CHO	5	98
3	4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CHO	12	94
4	4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CHO	15	94
5	4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CHO	30	82

**3g:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300MHz) δ: 2.14 (s, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 7.40 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.46 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.65 (s, 1H, CH); IR (KBr) δ: 1755, 1379, 1247, 1202, 1089, 1065, 1010 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**3h:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300MHz) δ: 2.16 (s, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 7.70 (s, 1H, CH), 7.72–8.28 (m, 3H, ArH); IR (KBr) δ: 1760, 1591, 1373, 1238, 1197, 1078, 1017 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**3j:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300MHz) δ: 2.14 (s, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 7.61 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.84 (s, 1H, CH), 7.83 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.27 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.41 (s, 1H, Ar-H); IR (KBr) δ: 2954, 1748, 1376, 1248, 1217, 1078 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

The project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20272018) and the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (021166, 04010458)

Received 29 December 2004; accepted 17 January 2005  
Paper 04/2976

### References

- 1 T.W. Green and P.G.M. Wuts, *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, 2nd edn, John Wiley, New York, 1991, pp.178 and 184.
- 2 F. Freeman and E.M. Karchefski, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 1977, **22**, 355.
- 3 I. Scriabine, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1961, 1194.
- 4 K.S. Kochhar, B.S. Bal, R.P. Deshpande, S.N. Rajadhyaksha and H.W. Pinnick, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1983, **48**, 1765.
- 5 N. Deka, D.J. Kalita, R. Borah and J.C. Sarma, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 1563.
- 6 V.K. Aggarwal, S. Fonquerna and G.P. Vennall, *Synlett*, 1998, 1921.
- 7 K.L. Chandra, P. Saravanan and V.K. Singh, *Synlett*, 2000, 359.
- 8 N. Sumida, K. Nishioka and T. Sato, *Synlett*, 2001, 1921.
- 9 B.C. Ranu, J. Dutta and A. Das, *Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **32**, 366.
- 10 A.K. Chakraborti, R. Thilagavathi and R. Kumar, *Synlett*, 2004, 831.
- 11 T. Li, G. Feng, M. Yang and T. Li, *Synth. Commun.*, 2004, **34**, 1645.